

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/098,688	03/15/2002	Shunpei Yamazaki	SEL 308	5173
7	590 07/07/2004		EXAM	INER
COOK, ALEX, MCFARRON, MANZO, CUMMINGS & MEHLER, LTD. Suite 2850 200 West Adams St. Chicago, IL 60606			CHEN, BRET P	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1762	
			DATE MAILED: 07/07/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·	Application No.	(Appliants)			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	10/098,688	YAMAZAKI ET AL. U			
	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAU INC DATE of this communication com	B. Chen	1762			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		•			
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Apple Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	a timely filed amendment wh	cation. A proper reply to a ich places the application in			
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{5}$ months from the mailing date					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Acevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	han SIX MONTHS from the mailing date on STILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The drave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exte 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortene (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nsion and the corresponding amount of the distance of the dist	e fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellan 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR)					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:				
(a) they raise new issues that would require furt	ner consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);				
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) They present additional claims without cance	eling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.			
NOTE:					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ection(s):				
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) woul canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	d be allowable if submitted in a	separate, timely filed amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request f application in condition for allowance because: o					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLELY	f to issues which were newly			
For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	5 :				
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected:					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) ap	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statem	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).				
10. Other:					
					

Art Unit: 1762

The Request for Reconsideration dated 6/14/04 has been considered but is not deemed persuasive.

Applicant argues that the final rejection fails to address the features of the claimed method and thus is deemed improper (p.2 lines 14-17).

The examiner disagrees. Applicant has not specifically pointed out what features have not been addressed.

Applicant next argues that Hemsath does not teach supplying a gas and heating the gas from an upstream side of a reaction chamber (p.3 first paragraph).

The examiner disagrees. This is clearly taught in columns 7-8.

Applicant's arguments have been considered but are not deemed persuasive.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to B. Chen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1417. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30am - 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shrive Beck can be reached on (571) 272-1415. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Bc 7/5/04

BRET CHEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER